THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.9719 of 2007

Date: 01.5.2007

Between:

World Missionary Evangelism of India
Rep by Godi Israel

....Petitioner

and

The Government of Andhra Pradessh rep by its
Secretary, Revenue, Secretariat, Hyderabad and another.

....Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.9719 of 2007

O R D E R:

The petitioner states that it is the owner and possessor of the properties bearing door No.5-116 and 5-120 in Sy.No.87 and 88 of Vepagunta Village, Visakhapatnam. It is also stated that it intended to sell the said properties to the intending purchasers, and approached the second respondent for registration of the same. The grievance of the petitioner is that the second respondent is not accepting the document for registration, on the ground that the Government issued a notification under Section 22-A of the Registration Act, 1908 (for short 'the Act'), in respect of the land in the said survey number. This writ petition is filed seeking appropriate directions to the respondents in this regard.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue.

Section 22-A of the Act empowered the Government to issue notifications almost preventing the registration of documents in respect of the lands to be mentioned therein. Such a power was held to be *ultra vires* and the provision was struck down by the Hon'ble Supreme

Court in ***State of Rajasthan v. Basanth Nahata***[1]**.**

Once the sole basis for the second respondent to refuse registration had disappeared, he is under obligation to receive the documents and consider the same in accordance with the provisions of the Stamp Act and the Registration Act.

Hence, the writ petition is disposed of, directing the second respondent to receive and process the document presented by the petitioner and take necessary steps in accordance with the provisions of the Stamp Act and the Registration Act, within one week from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

L.NARASIMHA REDDY, J

1.5.2007
msv

---

[1] AIR 2005 SC 3401 = (2005) 12 SCC 77